

# Homomorphic Signatures over Binary Fields: Secure Network Coding with Small Coefficients

Dan Boneh and **David Mandell Freeman**

Stanford University, USA

Crypto 2010 Rump Session

17 August 2010

# Homomorphic signatures for network coding

Consider an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\ell$ .

We want a signature scheme on  $V$  with the following properties:

- 1 **Homomorphic:** For  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$  and  $\sigma_1 = \text{Sign}(\mathbf{v}_1)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \text{Sign}(\mathbf{v}_2)$ , we can run a public Combine algorithm to obtain a valid signature  $\tau$  on  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ .
- 2 **Security:** No adversary can efficiently produce a valid signature on a vector  $\mathbf{y} \notin V$ , even when given many signatures on vectors in  $V$ .

Motivation: authenticating data for *network coding* [ACLY00].

- Routers linearly combine data represented as vectors; want to produce a signature on output.

# Signatures over binary fields

Previous solutions: vector spaces  $V$  defined over large field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  [BFKW09] or over  $\mathbb{Z}$  [GKKR10].

- Want to use small fields, such as  $\mathbb{F}_{257}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_{28}$ .

This work: homomorphic signatures on  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  under **SIS assumption on random  $q$ -ary lattices.**

- SIS is reducible to worst-case lattice problems.
- System extends to binary fields such as  $\mathbb{F}_{28}$  and other small fields such as  $\mathbb{F}_{257}$ .

# Overview of the construction

- 1 Derive matrix  $\mathbf{A}_V \in \mathbb{Z}_{2q}^{n \times m}$  ( $q$  odd)  
+ short basis  $\mathbf{B}$  for  $\Lambda_{2q}^\perp(\mathbf{A}_V)$ .
  - Uses trapdoor generation [AP09] + basis delegation [CHKP10].
- 2 To sign  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , compute a **short**  $\vec{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  (using  $\mathbf{B}$ ) such that

$$\mathbf{A}_V \cdot \vec{\sigma} = q \cdot \mathbf{v} \pmod{2q}.$$

Signature is solution to SIS mod  $q$ , authenticates message mod 2.  
Security idea: mod  $q$  and mod 2 parts can't be "decoupled."

- signature is large.
- + homomorphic signatures over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  can be done via lattice assumptions, but not via discrete log or factoring.

# Overview of the construction

- 1 Derive matrix  $\mathbf{A}_V \in \mathbb{Z}_{2q}^{n \times m}$  ( $q$  odd)  
+ short basis  $\mathbf{B}$  for  $\Lambda_{2q}^\perp(\mathbf{A}_V)$ .
  - Uses trapdoor generation [AP09] + basis delegation [CHKP10].
- 2 To sign  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , compute a **short**  $\vec{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  (using  $\mathbf{B}$ ) such that

$$\mathbf{A}_V \cdot \vec{\sigma} = q \cdot \mathbf{v} \pmod{2q}.$$

Signature is solution to SIS mod  $q$ , authenticates message mod 2.  
Security idea: mod  $q$  and mod 2 parts can't be “decoupled.”

- signature is large.
- + homomorphic signatures over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  can be done via lattice assumptions, but not via discrete log or factoring.